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Abstract
This study focuses on the mapping of the Islamic studies approach in one of Richard C. Martin's edited works entitled Approaches to Islam in Religious Studies. This study takes a descriptive-analytical form which begins by revealing the background of writing to the historical evolution of religious studies. Through this study, several conclusions that can be presented are related to Martin's academic anxiety, which he admits is motivated by a weakness between the theological approach which maintains a normative understanding of religions, and the history of religion point of view which emphasizes analytical descriptions and requires distance for the researchers. While related to the evolution of the study of the history of religion, he assessed the development of independent studies after historical studies, anthropology, sociology, theology and the study of the east, and therefore, developments in these studies were quite influential in the way historians of religions worked. Further developments are deemed necessary to separate religious studies from other disciplines.
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Introduction

The Islamic studies approach is an interesting discourse in the discourse of Islamic sciences. This is not only due to a direct relationship with divine values alone, but also to the existence of a relationship with the reality of religious life, and is no longer a question the area of Islamic studies, as revealed by Sjoerd van Koningsveld, Islamic studies in the Netherlands are no longer a problem. about the area of Islamic study because the Orientalists thought it was final. The emphasis of their study is on the issue of approach to be used in studying Islam.1 This is due to the growing awareness of the importance of various scientific approaches in the field of Islamic Studies and attention to problems resulting from various approaches such as philological, historical, anthropological and sociological approaches to religious data.2

Responding to these demands, the name Richard C. Martin through his edited work, Approaches to Islam in Religious Studies deserves to be placed as a scientist who has a concern for the above demands, in addition to the names of other scientists such as Mohammer Arkoun through his writing, Tarikhiyatu al-Fikr al-Araby al-Islamy and Nasr Hamid Abu Zaid who have presented the paper, Naqd al-Khitab al-Diniy.3

The history of religion viewpoint emphasizes a scientifically analytical description of other religions and requires some distance for researchers. Another factor is the failure of religious studies to be transformed into a special discipline despite the emergence of religious departments or religious studies in various universities in North America which have a myriad of data on textual expression and human religious behavior.

Based on his academic anxiety, this paper was presented as an effort to bring and lift the study of Islamic studies out of its historical-cultural traps and traps to the major currents of religious science that developed since the 19th century with its various methodological tools, as well as presenting various an approach that can bridge the methodological gap between Islamic Studies and Religionswissenschaft.

The researcher used the descriptive-analytical methods which is one of the procedures to collect and analyze data. This study begins by revealing the background of writing to the historical evolution of religious studies.

---


Research Results and Discussion
Richard C. Martin and his academic restlessness.

In one of the books he edited, Rethinking Islamic Studies from Orientalism to Cosmopolitanism, Richard C. Martin is listed as a professor in the field of Islamic Studies and History of Religions at Emory University, and is also listed as a former president at the American Research Center in Egypt who fills the day. -the day by writing and teaching a number of subjects such as, Islamic Thought, Religion, Social Conflict, Violence, Islam and Secularism. Among the works he has produced, such as Defenders of Reason in Islam: Mu'tazilism from Medieval School to Modern Symbol, which was written with Mark R. Woodward and Dwi Atmaja in 1997. In 2004, Richard C. Martin also became editor of writing encyclopedias of Islam and the Muslim World. Then in 2010, together with Carl W. Ernst is the editor of a collection of writings on the theme Rethinking Islamic Studies from Orientalism to Cosmopolitanism. Likewise, a collection of essays entitled, Approaches to Islam in Religious Studies, Richard C. Martin is also listed as the editor.4 These works, of course in addition to the numerous articles that have been published in various journals such as "Marriage, Love, and Sexuality in Islam: An Overview of Genres and Themes" in Covenant Marriage in Comparative Perspective (William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2005); "Familiar Idolatry and the Christian Case against Marriage" in, Authorizing Marriage? Canon, Tradition, and Critique in the Blessing of Same-sex Unions (Princeton University Press, 2006), and" Conversion to Islam by Invitation: Proselytism and the Negotiation of Identity in Islam "in, Sharing the Book: Religious Perspectives on the Rights and Wrongs of Proselytism (Orbis Books, 1999).5

Especially for the last work above, Approaches to Islam in Religious Studies, as expressed by Charles J. Adam in his introduction, comes from a collection of writings presented at a symposium on Islam and the history of religions held at Arizona State University in January 1980, although in his assessment, This achievement exceeded the achievement targeted by the symposium because according to Adam he had gathered trained scholars in religious studies for the first time in North America who were specifically presented not only to discuss the problem of methods and approaches to abstract Islamic studies but also to pay special attention to specific aspects of the Islamic

---

4 Carl W. Ernst and Richard C. Martin, Rethinking Islamic Studies from Orientalism to Cosmopolitanism (Columbia, University of South Carolina Press, 2010), p. 326

tradition and the use of various theoretical and methodological views of religious science to study the area of Islamic studies.\(^6\)

In a different language, Amin Abdullah said that Richard C. Martin's edited work was full of methodological content directed at the author's efforts to bring and lift Islamic studies out of its historical-cultural traps and traps into the realm of the mainstream of religious science that has developed since the 19th with its various methodological tools. On the other hand, according to Amin Abdullah, such efforts are also expected to be able to bridge the methodological gap between Islamic Studies and Religions wissenschaft which is still being felt today.\(^7\) These two things are also the contribution of Richard C. Martin's work in the study of Islamic studies.

The above assessment can be traced by looking at academic anxiety - or rather the background of editing the book - which, according to Martin, is at least motivated by the traditional position of Islamic studies at North American universities in eastern and regional study programs which are considered to be in a strategic position. The problem because it has given birth to two sub-fields from different points of view, and often even opens opportunities for conflict between one another, namely, a theological point of view that maintains a normative understanding of other religions so that these religions must be judged by claims conventional Christian, while on the other hand, The history of religion point of view emphasizes a scientifically analytical description of other religions and requires some distance for researchers.\(^8\)

Indeed, as has been written by M. Amin Abdullah, the point of view of religious studies which is based on theological building can be characterized as follows. First, the tendency to prioritize one's own group is very strong; second, there is personal involvement and deep appreciation of the theological teachings that are believed to be true; and third, expressing feelings and thoughts by using the language of the actor (actor) not the observer (spectator).\(^9\) The accumulation of these three characteristics in an individual or a community contributes significantly to creating a community that tends to be exclusive, emotional and rigid, even prioritizing truth claims compared to honest and argumentative dialogue.\(^10\) In other writings, Amin Abdullah called it the "believer" approach - which was later


\(^{7}\) M. Amin Abdullah, "Foreword", p. iv


\(^{9}\) M. Amin Abdullah, Religious Studies: Normativity or Historical (Yogyakarta: Student Library, 2011), pp. 14

\(^{10}\) M. Amin Abdullah, Religious Studies: Normativity or Historical, P. 15
This approach is diametrically opposed to a historical-critical approach which will actually help clear up the issue of human diversity. However, in Amin Abdullah’s observation, this empirical approach sometimes goes far beyond the limits of his authority, such as examples of theories emerging from sociological and psychological approaches that have led to a projectionist viewpoint, namely a perspective that sees religion only as a phenomenon. social, so that it loses its sacredness, the sacredness of its normative fibers,

In fact, making religion an object of study is included in cultural studies as expressed by Atho Mudzhar does not mean that religion itself is a creation of human culture because religion is still believed to be a revelation of God but the view is intended that the approach used in it is a research approach commonly used in research including cultural research for example. Thus, it does not eliminate the normativity aspect of religion even though on the other hand it does not forget the historical-empirical dimension of religion.

In Richard C. Martin’s assessment, the two tendencies above, both theological and historical approaches are equally extreme, one is called fideistic subjectivism and the other is called scientific objectivism by Martin.

Fideistic subjectivism is a view that states that humans are basically born in a religious state so if they do not worship the true God, they will worship the gods they created themselves. This shows that humans are never religiously neutral, whereas what Martin calls scientific objectivism is a perspective that shows that an impartial and unbiased critical mind will lead to the truth, therefore thinking right about religion requires eliminating all commitments and taking a position. neutral.

Another factor is the failure of religious studies to be transformed into a special discipline even though on the other hand there have been departments of religion or religious studies in various universities in North America that have a myriad of data on textual expression and human religious behavior, but still, religious

---

12 M. Amin Abdullah, *Religious Studies*, P. 15
13 M. Amin Abdullah, *Religious Studies*, P. 11
15 Richard C. Martin, *Approaches*, p. 2
studies fail to standardize itself as a discipline. 17 However, Martin is still heartened that, even though religious studies have not been assessed as a discipline, it has run with a number of theoretical assumptions and methodological procedures that can be likened to studies in women's studies, African-American studies, Jewish studies and various other studies in the field of Humanities. 18 in which scholars of religious studies have tried to work on a data field by using various theories, methods and various religious databases from other disciplines in the social sciences. 18

This can be done because - as Martin wrote, scholars of religious studies have roots in traditional scientific disciplines, namely, first, traditional humanities, second, theological disciplines, Bible studies and church history, third, social sciences such as anthropology, linguistics and psychology, fourth, regional studies - especially eastern studies, such as the Middle East, East Asia, South and Southeast Asia. Such specialists, in the context of religious studies, identify their teachings and writings on Islam as a history of the science of religions. 19

Evolution of the Study of History of Religion

In one of his writings, Amin Abdullah mentioned that the term history of religion is another name for the term science of religion (the Science of Religions) in the historical-empirical scientific tradition, in addition to other terms such as Comparative Religions, the Scientific Study of Religion, some even call it Religionwissenschaft, and Phenomenology of Religions. According to Amin Abdullah, this is because in the study of religion with the area of studying the phenomenon of human religious life in general, it is approached through various scientific disciplines which are historical-empirical, not doctrinal-normative in nature, so that the science of religions is the history of religion (History of Religion). 20 Sociology of Religion, Psychology of Religion, Anthropology of Religion and so on. 20

Likewise with Martin who mentions the terms History of Religion and Religious Studies as the two terms used in his edited book to show collectively some established approaches to religious studies, in other words, are used in order to better explain and understand religious data from the Islamic tradition in the context of religious studies which generally requires a brief survey of developments in the historical discipline of religions during the past century. 21

---

17 Tholhatul Choir, et al, Islam, p. 242
18 Richard C. Martin, Approaches, p. 2
19 Richard C. Martin, Approaches, P. 2
21 Richard C. Martin, Approaches, p. 5
This discipline of study is an independent development after the study of history, anthropology, sociology, theology, and the study of the east have become independent scientific disciplines, and therefore, developments in these studies are quite influential in the workings of historians of religions which are then seen in subsequent developments. It is necessary to make a separation between religious studies from other disciplines. This is evident from the existence of religious studies that were studied in Europe during the second half of the 19th century which aimed to break away from the faith of the church and theological faculties and of course had sparked conflict between church and scholarship. Subsequent developments occurred when in 1983 the first International Congress brought together historians of religion in Chicago. This is where Martin regrets the difficulty in regrowing the drive towards encyclopedic knowledge and scientific collaboration by involving experts in different areas of expertise.

Among those who contributed to this were Friederich Muller and the founders of Religionswissenschaft. Muller is an expert in philology who adopted Darwin's theory of evolution as his approach to the study of culture and religion. Through this theory, Muller states that historical evolution has implications for the evolution of culture and religion from a simple form to a higher and more perfect form, therefore, if the assumption that has so far stated that Christianity is the highest form of religion there actually still leaves the evolutionary question of its origins, proposals and stages of development which can be seen in the study of other religions. Such a view of course implicitly acknowledges the theological assumptions about historical progress towards a natural religion which belongs to all human beings.

The next important change that brought a whole change of views was World War I which was able to influence scholars to study religions. The notion of cultural evolution and the notion of human progress is subject to severe shocks, and as a result, there is an urgent need to find approaches that are capable of understanding the authentic expressions of other religions to speak without the influence of the personal values of scholars. In other words, an objective assessment of the role of religion in human life is needed so that a school in the Netherlands and Scandinavia has emerged which is called the phenomenology.

---

24 Richard C. Martin, Approaches, p. 6
of religion which is then attempted to be applied to religious manifestations through the pure descriptive method where researchers assess the value and truth of religious data positioned under an investigation that is deliberately suspended (epoche) and then an object is captured by its essence (eidetic vision) which lies behind the religious phenomenon. Because of the importance of the suspension of judgment, the researcher then assumes that the empirical manifestation of religious phenomena hides the deepest numena or sacred reality that can only be grasped in essence.

An important method introduced by Martin in this case is Dilthey's das verstehen theory in an effort to understand the ideas, intentions and feelings of a person or society through empirical manifestations in culture. Verstehen presupposes that humans in all societies and historical environments experience meaningful lives and they express that meaning into visible patterns so that they can be analyzed and understood.\(^{25}\) Through this method, said Martin, the aim of understanding other people's religions for religious historians is more than just cross-cultural knowledge but cross-cultural communication must also be instilled together with the theological goals of universal human understanding, and because of this - continued Martin - international agencies for the historians of religions should achieve this goal by inviting adherents of religions to explain their faith in their own way.\(^{26}\)

This approach by Wilfred Cantwell Smith is called a personalist or dialogical approach which according to Smith - without neglecting the overall achievements in the study of the East and the history of religions in the past - the object of scientific understanding is the belief that Muslim, Buddhist, Christian and Hindu individuals believe in the context of life. It is clear, where this faith is imperfectly exposed only in textual-normative materials from traditions such as Islam so that various readings of this material will fail to understand Muslim faith if it produces explanations and interpretations that are not in accordance with what Muslims themselves mean.\(^{27}\) Even though Smith's approach on the one hand is considered to provide opportunities for the realization of dialogue in order to achieve a better understanding between adherents of one religion and followers of another religion, it is still under suspicion because many analytical programs require a distance between the researcher and the object understudy.

\(^{25}\) Tholhatul Choir, et al, Islam, p. 250

\(^{26}\) Richard C. Martin, Approaches, p. 8-9

\(^{27}\) Richard C. Martin, Approaches, P. 9
Apart from the emergence of the above theories, since 1960 the history of religions at the University of North America has become increasingly aware of its identity as a scientific discipline. The birth of the structuralist analysis of myth by Levi-Straus, the study of religion as a system of cultural symbols by Clifford Geertz and the interpretation of aspects of ritual by Victor Turner helped to inspire serious discussions among religious historians. The achievements that have been shown by these anthropologists, although not explicitly recognized in the works of religious historians, according to Martin should be noted as achievements that have confirmed religion as a field of study.28

Conclusions

The mapping done by Richard C. Martin in his work, which comes from a collection of several articles, at least provides important information in terms of the methodology of the study of religion. This is what M. Amin Abdullah said, that Martin's work is full of methodological content directed at the author's efforts to bring and lift Islamic studies out of its historical-cultural traps and traps into the area of the mainstream of religious science vortex that has developed since the century 19 with various methodological tools at its disposal. The hope is that it can bridge the methodological gap between Islamic Studies and Religionswissenschaft which is still being felt today.

Another thing that needs to be presented as a point of conclusion from this study is related to Martin's academic anxiety which was the background for the birth of his collection of writings. Regarding the background of this writing, it is motivated by the traditional position of Islamic studies at North American universities in eastern and regional study programs which are considered to be in strategic positions which is also a problem because it has created two sub-fields from different perspectives, and often even opens opportunities for the emergence of conflict between one another, namely, a theological point of view that maintains a normative understanding of other religions so that these religions must be judged by conventional Christian claims.

References


Abdullah, M. Amin, Religious Studies: Normativity or Historical (Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar, 2011)


Ernst, Carl W. and Richard C. Martin, Rethinking Islamic Studies from Orientalism to Cosmopolitanism (Columbia, University of South Carolina Press, 2010)


http://cslr.law.emory.edu/people/person/name/martin/. Accessed on, 01 September 2020